

DYALOG

Belfast 2018



SA2: Performance Tuning

Jay Foad, Roger Hui,
Marshall Lochbaum

Introduction

- Measuring performance
- Data types
- Contiguous data



Measuring performance

- cmpx
- [PROFILE and]PROFILE
- APLMON



Data types

- Dyalog has many data types
- Smaller ones are (almost) always better!
- □DR is a useful diagnostic tool...
- ... but can be misleading



Contiguous data

- Array data is stored in memory in *ravel* order

→

00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79

→



Contiguous data

- The data for a single row is *contiguous* in memory

→

00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79

→



Contiguous data

- The data for a single column is *not* contiguous

→

00	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69
70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79

→



Contiguous data

- Efficient operations:
 - move whole rows around, or
 - move data around within a single row
- Inefficient operations:
 - move whole columns around, or
 - move data around within a single column



Contiguous data

- Historical note:
primitives inspired by Sharp APL / J
strongly encourage you to work on leading axes
- (Some APL2 primitives do too,
notably grade up and grade down)



Contiguous data

- What if you really need to work on the last axis?
 1. Transpose your data, then transpose back (one day we will implement Under)
 2. Try not to have this problem in the first place
 3. Think about inverted tables



Selective assignment

- Back in version 14.1...

```
(Idxs[]Cube) +← Fn Idxs[]Cube  ʌ slow
```

```
(P Q R)←Idxs  
Cube[P;Q;R] +← Fn Cube[P;Q;R]  ʌ fast
```

- (This was fixed in version 15.0)



Selective assignment

$A \leftarrow \text{'hello'}$

$(2 \uparrow \phi A) \leftarrow \text{'xi'}$

A

helix



Selective assignment

$A \leftarrow \text{'hello'}$

$(2 \uparrow \phi A) \leftarrow \text{'xi'}$

A

1 2 3 4 5

helix



Selective assignment

$A \leftarrow \text{'hello'}$

$(2 \uparrow \phi A) \leftarrow \text{'xi'}$

A

5 4 3 2 1

helix



Selective assignment

$A \leftarrow \text{'hello'}$

$(2 \uparrow \phi A) \leftarrow \text{'xi'}$

A

5 4

helix



Selective assignment

$A \leftarrow \text{'hello'}$

$(2 \uparrow \phi A) \leftarrow \text{'xi'}$

A

$A[5 \ 4] \leftarrow \text{'xi'}$

helix



Selective assignment

- Do it yourself!

```
A ← 'hello'
(2↑ϕA) ← 'xi'
A
```

helix

```
A ← 'hello'
A[2↑ϕιρA] ← 'xi'
A
```

helix



Selective assignment

- Do it yourself!

```
A ← 'hello'
(2↑ϕA) ← 'xi'
A
```

helix

```
A ← 'hello'
A[2↑ϕιρA] ← 'xi'
A
```

helix



Selective assignment

- For *vectors* the DIY code is pretty fast
- The DIY code also works for higher ranked arrays



Selective assignment

- For *vectors* the DIY code is pretty fast
- The DIY code also works for higher ranked arrays
- but the index array $\tau \rho A$ is nested



Selective assignment

- For *vectors* the DIY code is pretty fast
- The DIY code also works for higher ranked arrays
- but the index array $\tau \rho A$ is nested
- so performance sucks



Selective assignment

- Always creates the whole index array

```
A ← 1E9  
  (2↑A) ← -1  a slow  
A[1 2] ← -1  a fast
```



Selective assignment

- Always creates the whole index array
- ...except when it doesn't

```
A ← 1E9  
((c1 2)[]A) ← -1  # fast(ish)  
A[1 2] ← -1  # fast
```

